

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Francis Hsieh, et al.
Serial No.: 09/901,402
Filed: July 9, 2001
Group No.: 2662
Examiner: Ricardo M. Pizarro
Notice of Allowance Mailed: January 30, 2006
Confirmation No.: 6534
Title: ELECTRONIC COMMERCE DISTRIBUTED NETWORK AND METHOD


Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE TO REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's allowance of Claims 9-11, 12, 13-14, and 21-34. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.104, Applicants respectfully issue a statement commenting on the Examiner's reasons for allowance. Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's reasons for allowance to the extent that they are inconsistent with applicable case law, statutes, and regulations. Furthermore, Applicants do not admit to any characterization or limitation of the claims or to any characterization of a reference by the Examiner, particularly any that are inconsistent with the language of the claims considered in their entirety and including all of their constituent limitations.

Respectfully submitted,
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
Attorneys for Applicants


Chad C. Walters
Registration No. 48,022

Date: April 26, 2006

CUSTOMER NO. 05073